Appl. No. 09/747,760 Amdt. dated April 19, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 19, 2003

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

- 1-24. (canceled)
- 25. (currently amended) The method of claim 24, wherein A method of screening drug candidates, comprising:
- a) providing a B cell that expresses one or more expression profile genes selected from the group consisting of carb anh II, IgD, CD72, SATB1, ApoE, CD83, cyclin D2, Cctq, MEF-2C, TGIF, Aeg-2, lck, E2-20K, pcp-4, kappa V, neurogranin, NAB2, gfi-1 hIP-30, TRAP, bmk, CD36, Evi-2, vimetin, Ly6E.1 and c-fes;
 - b) adding a drug candidate to the B cell;
- c) determining the expression level of the one or more expression profile genes in the B cell; determining comprises determining the expression level of carb anh II, IgD, CD72, SATB1, ApoE, CD83, cyclin D2, Cctq, MEF 2C, TGIF, Aeg 2, lek, E2-20K, pcp 4, kappa V, neurogranin, NAB2, gfi-1 hIP-30, TRAP, bmk, CD36, Evi-2, vimetin, Ly6E.1 and/or c-fes; and
- d) comparing the expression level of at least one gene of the one or more expression profile genes in the B cell with the expression level of the at least one gene in a control cell not contacted with the drug candidate; and
- e) identifying the drug candidate is identified as a potential modulator of B cell tolerance if a difference in expression level is determined in the comparison of step d).
- 26. (previously presented) The method according to claim 25, wherein determining comprises (i) determining whether expression of carb anh II, CD72, SATB1, ApoE, CD83, cyclin D2, Cctq, MEF-2C, TGIF, Aeg-2, lck, E2-20K, pcp-4, kappa V, neurogranin, NAB2 and/or gfi-1 is increased in the test cell relative to the control cell, or (ii) determining whether expression of Ly6E.1, vimentin, hIP-30, TRAP, bmk, CD36, Evi-2 and/or c-fes is decreased in the test cell relative to the control cell; and

Appl. No. 09/747,760 Amdt. dated April 19, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 19, 2003

the drug candidate is identified as a potential modulator of B cell tolerance if the expression level of a gene listed in (i) is increased and/or the expression level of a gene listed in (ii) is decreased.

27-30. (canceled)

- 31. (currently amended) The method according to claim 1 claim 25, further comprising performing a binding assay to determine if the drug candidate identified in step e) binds to the protein encoded by the at least one gene.
- 32. (currently amended) The method according to elaim 1 claim 25, further comprising performing an assay to determine if the drug candidate identified in step e) modulates an activity of the protein encoded by the at least one gene.
- 33. (currently amended) The method according to elaim 1 claim 25, wherein the expression levels of a plurality of expression profile genes are determined and compared.
- 34. (previously presented) The method according to claim 33, wherein the expression levels of at least three expression profile genes are determined and compared.
- 35. (previously presented) The method according to claim 34, wherein the expression levels of at least five expression profile genes are determined and compared.
- 36. (new) The method according to claim 26, wherein the drug candidate is identified as a potential modulator of B cell tolerance if the expression level of a gene listed in (i) is increased and the expression level of a gene listed in (ii) is decreased.
- 37. (new) The method of claim 25, wherein the expression level is determined from the amount of transcript expressed by the at least one gene.
- 38. (new) The method of claim 25, wherein the expression level is determined from the amount of protein expressed by the at least one gene.